## New statistical enumeration methods for self-avoiding walks

Nobu C. Shirai<sup>1,2</sup>, Macoto Kikuchi<sup>2,1,3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Science Osaka University <sup>2</sup> Cybermedia Center Osaka University <sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Frontier Biosciences Osaka University

We develop statistical enumeration methods for self-avoiding walks [1] using a powerful sampling technique called the multicanonical Monte Carlo method [2, 3]. Using these methods, we estimate the numbers of the two dimensional N-step self-avoiding walks up to N = 256 with statistical errors. The developed methods are based on statistical mechanical models of paths which include self-avoiding walks. The criterion for selecting a suitable model for enumerating self-avoiding walks is whether or not the configuration space of the model includes a set for which the number of the elements can be exactly counted. We call this set a scale fixing set. We selected the following two models which satisfy the criterion: the  $G\bar{o}$  model [5, 6] for lattice proteins and the Domb-Joyce model [4] for generalized random walks. There is a contrast between these two models in the structures of the configuration space. The configuration space of the  $G\bar{o}$  model is defined as the universal set of self-avoiding walks, and the set of the ground state conformation provides a scale fixing set. On the other hand, the configuration space of the Domb-Joyce model is defined as the universal set of random walks which can be used as a scale fixing set, and the set of the ground state conformation is the same as the universal set of selfavoiding walks. From the perspective of enumeration performance, we conclude that the Domb-Joyce model is the better of the two. The reason for the performance difference is partly explained by the existence of the first-order phase transition of the  $G\bar{o}$  model.

## References

- [1] Shirai N C and Kikuchi M 2012 arXiv:1212.2181
- [2] Berg B A and Neuhaus T 1991 Phys. Rev. B 267 249
- [3] Berg B A and Neuhaus T 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 9
- [4] Domb C and Joyce G S 1972 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 5 956
- [5] Gō N and Taketomi H 1978 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75 559
- [6] Gō N 1983 Ann. Rev. Biophy. Bioeng. 12 183
- [7] Iba Y, Chikenji G and Kikuchi M 1998 J. Phys. Soc. Jan 67 3327
- [8] Chikenji G, Kikuchi M and Iba Y 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 1886